

WASHINGTON, DC 200078696

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/147,490	05/13/1999	FREDERICK A. O. MENDELSOHN	016786/0215	1793	
	75	590 02/04/2005		EXAMINER		
	FOLEY & LA	RDNER		WEGERT, S	WEGERT, SANDRA L	
	3000 K STREE	T NW SUITE 500				
	PO BOY 25606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/147,490	MENDELSOHN ET A	L.		
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sandra Wegert	1647			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applicati	to a ion in		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. \$ R 1.136(a) and the approper to the fee. The approper to the fee.	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension		
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officianely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b).				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 August 2004</u>. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	•	n in		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	•		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· ·				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment		
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		idered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo		nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	Elig	aber C. /sc			
Claim(s) allowed:	U				
Claim(s) objected to:		ELIZABETH MERCOT			
Claim(s) rejected: 34-48.	•	PRIMARY EXACTLY	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:					
	•				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For Claims 34-48, 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph Enablement and Written Description issues remain. Applicants are not enabled for methods of modulating a neuronal activity other than learning, amnesia and memory retrieval. Claims to a method of facilitating a biological activity, wherein said biological activity is learning, would likely be enabled. Likewise, claims wherein the peptide is administered in an amount effective for treating amnesia would likely be enabled. Applicants are not enabled, nor have they adequately described, methods of modulating an activity by administering a peptide by inhalation. Applicants are enabled for substitutions of D-amino acids in the peptide of SEQ ID NO: 1, but are not enabled, and not in possession of, substitutions of other amino acids or amino acid analogues.